

Interacting noncommutative solitons (vacua)

CORNELIU SOCHICHIU

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

141980 Dubna, Moscow Reg.

RUSSIA

Institutul de Fizică Aplicată AŞ,

str. Academiei, nr. 5,

MD2028 Chişinău,

MOLDOVA

Received XXX

We consider the dynamics of two interacting lumps/solitons in a noncommutative gauge model. We show that equations of motion describing this dynamics can be reduced to ones of a two-dimensional mechanical system which is well studied and was shown to exhibit stochastic behaviour.

PACS: ???

Key words: ???

1 Introduction

Recent development of string theory has shown that noncommutative (NC) models can arise in certain limits of String Theory [1]. Moreover the String Field Theory [2], which is the theory of second quantised string, is based on noncommutative geometry too.

Due to their proximity to String Theory noncommutative theories have many features in common with it. It is worthwhile to mention the IR/UV mixing [3], which says that in NC theories there is a correspondence analogous to string theory between contributions at high energies with those at low ones. Another common feature is a number of equivalences/dualities which relate different noncommutative models [4, 5].

This list is continued by the so called noncommutative soliton which was found in [6], in the limit of large noncommutativity $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ and further generalised to finite θ but nontrivial gauge field background [7]. These solitons are believed to correspond in the string theory language to *branes*.

Noncommutative theories can alternatively be treated as theories of linear operators defined over a (infinite-dimensional separable) Hilbert space. In the operator language, noncommutative solitons look like projectors to finite-dimensional subspaces of the Hilbert space, while the gauge fields split into parts corresponding respectively to the finite dimensional subspace and its orthogonal completion [7].

In this note we are going to consider gauge field “solitons”, i.e. solutions for gauge fields which are projectors to finite-dimensional subspaces of the Hilbert space rather than ones for the scalar field. For more details the reader is referred

to Ref. [8].

2 The Model

Consider the noncommutative gauge model described by the following action,

$$S = \int dt \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^i + \frac{1}{4g^2} [X^i, X^j]^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

Here fields X^i , $i = 1, \dots, D$ are time dependent Hermitian operators defined on some separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Provided the Gauss law constraint $G \equiv [X_i, \dot{X}_i] = 0$, the model (1) describes the noncommutative Yang–Mills(–Higgs) model in the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$ in a sense we explain below [9, 4, 5]. This appears also to be the same with the bosonic part of the Hilbert space i.e. $N = \infty$ BFSS M(atr)ix model [10].

Equations of motion corresponding to this action look as follows,

$$\ddot{X}_i + \frac{1}{g^2} [X_i, [X_i, X_j]] = 0. \quad (2)$$

One may find static classical solution $X_i = p_i$, [9, 4, 5], satisfying,

$$[p_i, p_j] = i\theta_{ij}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

for which we assume irreducibility, i.e. any quantity F commuting with all p_i : $[p_i, F] = 0$ have to be proportional to unity operator. In particular, θ_{ij}^{-1} should be nondegenerate. This property ensures that any operator can be formally expressed as an operator function of the operators $x^i = \theta^{ij} p_j$, by means of its Weyl symbol. Expanding fluctuations around this solution, $X_i = p_i + A_i$, and Weyl ordering operators A_i with respect to x^i one gets precisely the $(D+1)$ -dimensional noncommutative Yang–Mills model for the fields $A_i(x)$.

Weyl symbol $f(x)$ of an operator f can be treated as an ordinary function subject to the Moyal or star product,

$$f * g(x) = e^{i\theta \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \partial'_j} f(x) g(x') \Big|_{x'=x}, \quad (4)$$

here $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are Weyl symbols of some operators f and g , $f * g(x)$ is the Weyl symbol of their product and ∂_i , ∂'_i denote the derivatives with respect to x^i and x'^i .

Integration of a Weyl symbol corresponds to $2\pi\theta \times$ trace of the respective operator. An important difference of the Weyl–Moyal algebra of functions defined above from the commutative one (of course, beyond its noncommutativity) is that the derivative is an internal automorphism of the algebra. The partial derivative with respect to x^i here corresponds to the commutator,

$$\partial_i f(x) = i(p_i * f - f * p_i)(x) = [p_i, f](x), \quad (5)$$

Getting another solution with a smaller number of independent operators say p_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$, expanding and Weyl ordering fluctuations around it, one gets Yang–Mills–Higgs model in a smaller number of dimensions equal to p , the number of independent irreducible operators. In the remaining part of this note we will actually consider the model corresponding to the expansion around a two-dimensional solution,

$$[p_1, p_2] = i\theta^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

but one should keep in mind the above equivalence.

3 Lumps

In this section we review a completely different class of localised solutions. In order to do this consider the noncommutative analog of complex coordinates which is given by oscillator rising/lowering operators a and $\bar{a}$,

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(x^1 + ix^2), \quad \bar{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(x^1 - ix^2), \quad [a, \bar{a}] = 1, \quad (7)$$

and the oscillator basis,

$$\bar{a}a |n\rangle = n |n\rangle, \quad a |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle, \quad \bar{a} |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle. \quad (8)$$

There is a solution to (2) different from one discussed in the previous section which is given by commutative matrices. Due to commutativity they can be diagonalised by a proper gauge transformation and represented in the oscillator basis as follows,

$$X^i = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n^i |n\rangle \langle n|, \quad (9)$$

where c_n^i represents n -th eigenvalue of the (finite rank) operator X^i . The rank N of the solution (9) is called the “soliton number”. The simplest one-soliton solutions can be written in the form,

$$X_i^{(0)} = c_i |0\rangle \langle 0|. \quad (10)$$

We should warn that the term “soliton” applied to the above configuration is conventional. It is not a soliton in the sense of solutions to the solitonic equations. Moreover, in this case the solution has zero energy at rest, however geometrically it is “noncommutative soliton” in the sense of [6]. In this particular case one also can call them “vacuum solitons” or just “vacua”.

In the star-product form operator (10) is represented by the Weyl symbol

$$X_i(\bar{z}, z) = 2c_i e^{-2|z|^2}.$$

The soliton shifted along noncommutative plane by a (c-number) vector u is given by

$$X_i^{(u)} = c_i e^{-ip_\mu u^\mu} |0\rangle \langle 0| e^{ip_\mu u^\mu} = c_i e^{-|u|^2} e^{\bar{a}u} |0\rangle \langle 0| e^{-a\bar{u}}. \quad (11)$$

Its Weyl symbol, correspondingly, is given by $X_i^{(u)}(z) = 2c_i e^{-|z-u|^2}$. The shifted soliton with constant u is a solution again. When u becomes time-dependent one can perform a gauge transformation

$$X_i \rightarrow e^{ip_\mu u^\mu(t)} X_i e^{-ip_\mu u^\mu(t)}, \quad (12)$$

which shifts the soliton back to the centre, but produce a kinetic term $\dot{u}^2/2$. Thus a single noncommutative soliton moves like a free *non-relativistic* particle. It is also stable since its energy at rest is zero.

In what follows we are going to analyse the situation when there is a couple of solitons separated by a distance u .

4 A pair of interacting solitons

A single noncommutative soliton can be always rotated in the Hilbert space by a gauge transformation to have the “polarisation” $|0\rangle$ and “orientation” along X_1 . When there are two such solitons one can choose *without loss of generality* the “orientation” to involve nontrivially only X_1 and X_2 .

Consider two solitons which are obtained from $c|0\rangle\langle 0|$ by shifts along the noncommutative plane by respectively $u_{(1)}$ and $u_{(2)}$. Since the dynamics of the centre is free and can be decoupled by a time-dependent gauge transformation similar to (12), where $u = u_{(1)} + u_{(2)}$ is the coordinate of the centre. The coordinates of the solitons reduced to the centre become $\pm u/2$.

Thus, the configuration we consider looks like,

$$X_1 = cVPV^{-1} \equiv c|-u/2\rangle\langle -u/2|, \quad (13a)$$

$$X_2 = cV^{-1}PV \equiv c|u/2\rangle\langle u/2| \quad (13b)$$

$$X_i = \text{const}, \quad i = 3, \dots, D, \quad (13c)$$

where we introduced the shorthand notations,

$$V = e^{(i/2)p_\mu u^\mu} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(a\bar{u} - \bar{a}u)}, \quad (14)$$

$$P = |0\rangle\langle 0|. \quad (15)$$

The hight c can be absorbed by the rescaling of the coupling and the time, therefore we put it to $c = 1$.

In what follows also X_i $i = 1, \dots, D$ will enter trivially in the equation, so in the remaining part of the paper we simply neglect them and allow the index i to run in the range $i = 1, 2$. If we were considering more than two noncommutative solitons we would have to keep more fields.

5 Dynamics

The symmetry of the model allows its further simplification. In particular, the Gauss law conservation,

$$\dot{G} = 0, \quad (16)$$

provided by the unitary gauge invariance, implies that operators X_i are nontrivial only on a two-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_{(u)}$ of the Hilbert space spanned by $|\pm u/2\rangle$. therefore, one can restrict the equations of motion (2) to $\mathcal{H}_{(u)}$ assuming thus that X_i are 2×2 matrices acting on it.

One can show [8] that the equations of motion together with initial conditions are equivalent to the system of two-dimensional particle moving in the potential $X^2 Y^2$,

$$\ddot{X} = -\frac{2}{g^2} Y^2 X, \quad (17a)$$

$$\ddot{Y} = -\frac{2}{g^2} X^2 Y, \quad (17b)$$

which are supplied with the initial conditions,

$$X|_{t=0} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^2}, \quad Y|_{t=0} = -\sqrt{1 - e^{-|u|^2}}. \quad (17c)$$

This model has a number of interesting features studied in [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The motion resulting from above equations appears to be *stochastic* for all values of u except $u = \sqrt{\theta} \ln 2$. When $u = \sqrt{\theta} \ln 2$ the motion is periodic but unstable, infinitesimal deviation from this position brings it back to stochasticity.

The solution to initial equations (2) is expressed in terms of solution $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ to Eq. (17) as follows,

$$X_1(t, \bar{z}, z) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0(\bar{z}, z) + X(t) \sigma_3(\bar{z}, z) + Y(t) \sigma_1(\bar{z}, z), \quad (18)$$

$$X_2(t, \bar{z}, z) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0(\bar{z}, z) + X(t) \sigma_3(\bar{z}, z) - Y(t) \sigma_1(\bar{z}, z), \quad (19)$$

where $\sigma_a(\bar{z}, z)$ are the Weyl symbols of the two-dimensional Pauli matrices which

form the basis for hermitian operators acting on $\mathcal{H}_{(u)}$,

$$\sigma_1(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-|u|^2}}} \left(e^{-2|z - \frac{u}{2}|^2} - e^{-2|z + \frac{u}{2}|^2} \right), \quad (20a)$$

$$\sigma_2(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{2ie^{-2\bar{z}z}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-|u|^2}}} \left(e^{\bar{z}u - \bar{u}z} - e^{-\bar{z}u + \bar{u}z} \right), \quad (20b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3(z, \bar{z}) = & -\frac{2e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^2}}{1 - e^{-|u|^2}} \left(e^{-2|z - \frac{u}{2}|^2} + e^{-2|z + \frac{u}{2}|^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{e^{-2\bar{z}z}}{1 - e^{-|u|^2}} \left(e^{\bar{z}u - \bar{u}z} + e^{-\bar{z}u + \bar{u}z} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (20c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(\bar{z}, z) = \sigma_0(z, \bar{z}) = & \frac{2}{1 - e^{-|u|^2}} \left(e^{-2|z - \frac{u}{2}|^2} + e^{-2|z + \frac{u}{2}|^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{2e^{-2|z|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|u|^2}}{1 - e^{-|u|^2}} \left(e^{\bar{z}u - \bar{u}z} + e^{-\bar{z}u + \bar{u}z} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20d)$$

Qualitatively, the solution (18), (19) describes lumps bouncing (stochastically) around “points” $z = 0, \pm u/2$ of the noncommutative plane. In the string theory language the heights of the lumps can be interpreted as transversal (to the noncommutative 2-brane) coordinates of two 0-branes.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by RFBR grant #99-01-00190, INTAS grants #00 262, Scientific School support grant # 00-15-96046. My participation to the Conference was supported by the Votruba–Blokhintsev Czech–Dubna collaboration grant. (Special thanks also to the Coordinator of Bogoliubov–Infeld program.)

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry,” *JHEP* **09** (1999) 032, [hep-th/9908142](#).
- [2] E. Witten, “Noncommutative geometry and string field theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B268** (1986) 253.
- [3] S. Minwalla, M. V. Raamsdonk, and N. Seiberg, “Noncommutative perturbative dynamics,” *JHEP* **02** (2000) 020, [hep-th/9912072](#).
- [4] C. Sochichiu, “On the equivalence of noncommutative models in various dimensions,” [hep-th/0007127](#).
- [5] C. Sochichiu, “Exercising in K-theory: Brane condensation without tachyon,” [hep-th/0012262](#).
- [6] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla, and A. Strominger, “Noncommutative solitons,” *JHEP* **05** (2000) 020, [hep-th/0003160](#).
- [7] C. Sochichiu, “Noncommutative tachyonic solitons: Interaction with gauge field,” *JHEP* **08** (2000) 026, [hep-th/0007217](#).

- [8] C. Sochichiu, “Some notes concerning the dynamics of noncommutative solitons in the m(atr)ix theory as well as in the noncommutative yang-mills model,” **hep-th/0104076**.
- [9] C. Sochichiu, “M(any) vacua of IIB,” *JHEP* **05** (2000) 026, **hep-th/0004062**.
- [10] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, and L. Susskind, “M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture,” *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 5112–5128, **hep-th/9610043**.
- [11] G. Z. Baseian, S. G. Matinian, and G. K. Savvidy, “Nonlinear plane waves in massless yang-mills theory. (in russian),” *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **29** (1979) 641.
- [12] S. G. Matinian, G. K. Savvidy, and N. G. Ter-Arutunian-Savvidy, “Stochasticity of yang-mills classical mechanics and its elimination by higgs mechanism,” **EFI-512-55-81-YEREVAN**.
- [13] B. V. Medvedev, “Dynamic stochasticity and quantization,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **60** (1985) 782–797.
- [14] I. Y. Aref’eva, A. S. Koshelev, and P. B. Medvedev, “Chaos-order transition in matrix theory,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A13** (1998) 2481, **hep-th/9804021**.
- [15] I. Y. Aref’eva, P. B. Medvedev, O. A. Rytchkov, and I. V. Volovich, “Chaos in m(atr)ix theory,” **hep-th/9710032**.